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InGaN alloys and, in particular, InGaN monolayer quantum wells (MLQWs) are attracting an increasing amount of interest for opto-electronic applications. Impurities, incorporated during growth, can introduce electronic states that can degrade the performance of such devices. For this reason, we present a density functional and group theoretical study of the electronic properties of C, H, or O impurities in an InGaN MLQW. Analysis of the formation energy and symmetry reveals that these impurities are mostly donors and can be held accountable for the reported degradation of InGaN-based devices. © 2015 AIP Publishing LLC. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.4919787]

Nitride alloys have the ability to cover a wide range of wavelengths of the electromagnetic spectrum, due to the variety of band gap values of GaN or AlN or InN. This is also the case for InxGa1−xN, for which it is possible to tune the direct band gap \(E_{\text{GAP}}\) according to the In concentration \(x\). Although there is no agreement on the \(E_{\text{GAP}}\) value, density functional theory calculations have shown that it varies between 3.3 and 2.1 eV for \(x = 0\) to 1.2–0.0 eV for \(x = 1\), according to the calculation methodology employed. Typically, InGaN/GaN multiple quantum wells (MQWs) or InGaN/InN MQW are synthesized for LED applications. Yet, it is difficult to obtain high quality InGaN for this purpose, due to the high defect concentration arising from the lattice mismatch between InN and GaN. For this reason, molecular beam epitaxy (MBE) growth of an InGaN mono-layer quantum well (MLQW) that is a layer of InN embedded inside GaN, could overcome this issue.

Metal-organic precursors typically lead to C, H, and O incorporation into group III nitrides and, for this reason, their effect in GaN and InN has been thoroughly studied. It is known that C impurities reside in an N-substitutional position (CN) in both GaN and InN, where it behaves as a shallow acceptor at 0.2–0.3 eV above the edge of the valence band, \(E_V\). Hydrogen substitutes N in both GaN and InN and behaves instead as donor. In GaN, hydrogen is known to effectively passivate Mg dopants, whereas H behaves as a double donor in both \(p\) and \(n\)-type InN. Similarly to C and H, also oxygen prefers to sit at a N-site and behaves as a donor in both GaN and InN.

Since the presence of impurities can give rise to electrically active levels in \(E_{\text{GAP}}\), these can be detrimental for opto-electronic applications, e.g., passivation of dopants or charge carrier compensation, the following question arises: What is the effect of the presence of C, H, and O on the electronic properties of InxGa1−xN alloys? Or, more specifically, how do these impurities behave in an InGaN MLQW?

To answer this question, we present an \textit{ab initio} investigation of the electronic properties of the C, H, and O isolated substitutional impurities in InGaN MLQW, modeled using a 108-atom wurtzite GaN supercell, in which a layer of Ga atoms was substituted with In atoms (see Fig. 1(a)). Each of the three impurities was placed in the InN bilayer, by substituting a N atom with either a C (CN), H (HN), or O (ON). The reason for this is that according to the literature, an N-site is the most energetically favorable site for C, H, and O. Theiesta14 code was employed for carrying out the density functional theory calculations, by using Troullier-Martins norm-conserving pseudopotentials, to account for the effect of core electrons, and the Ceperley-Alder form of the local density approximation (LDA), for the exchange correlation potential. A double-\(\zeta\) plus polarization was used as atomic orbitals basis set throughout the calculations. For the Ga and In atoms, the \(d\)–orbital electrons were treated as valence electrons. This choice was tested on wurtzite GaN and InN, respectively. For GaN, the \(a = b = c\) lattice constants were both 1% larger than the experimental value with a direct band gap of 2.1 eV. For InN, the \(a = b\) and \(c\) lattice constants were 0.3% larger than the experimental value and the calculated direct band gap was 0.2 eV. The equilibrium configurations of the pristine and defected InGaN MLQWs were obtained by relaxing the atomic coordinates with a conjugate
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**FIG. 1.** Relaxed structure of the (a) InN MLQW embedded in the wurtzite GaN matrix and relaxed structures of the three (b) C, (c) H, and (d) O impurities in a N-substitutional site bonded to three In atoms and one Ga atom. Ga atoms are green, N atoms are light blue, In atoms are orange, C atom is black, H atom is blue, and O atom is red.
After geometry relaxation (Fig. 1(a)), the In-N bonds, for In and N atoms lying in the same layer, become 2.04 Å, whereas In-N bonds between atoms in adjacent layers become 2.18 Å, in good agreement with the values obtained by Miao et al.\textsuperscript{2} When C\textsubscript{N} is present in the MLQW (Fig. 1(b)), the In-C\textsubscript{N} bond length does not change significantly (2.04–2.05 Å) after geometry relaxation neither does the C\textsubscript{N}-Ga bond (1.90 Å). These similarities are no surprise as the considerable size to N. After geometry relaxation, the O-Ga bond length is 1.9 Å whereas O-In is 2.1 Å.\textsuperscript{10,18} Similarly to the case of C, also the introduction of oxygen (O\textsubscript{N}, Fig. 1(d)) results in a small strain between the GaN and InN bilayer, possibly due to the fact that O, like C, has a comparable size to N. After geometry relaxation, the O-Ga bond length is 1.9 Å whereas O-In is 2.1 Å.

In Fig. 2, we show the total and partial density of states of the InGaN MLQW, both pristine and in the presence of impurities. It can be seen (Fig. 2(a)) that the Kohn-Sham $E_{\text{GAP}}$ is 1.2 eV, much lower than what is obtained by hybrid functional calculations.\textsuperscript{2} In addition, we find that the top of the valence band ($E_V$) has mainly a $p-$ character, arising from the $p$ orbitals of the N atoms, while the contributions of the Ga ($p$, $d$ orbitals) and In ($p$ orbital) become more relevant deeper in the valence band. On the other side, the bottom of the conduction band ($E_C$) is mainly due to both $s$ and $p$ orbitals of N and, in about equal parts, to the $s$ orbitals of both Ga and In. We point out that the predominance of N $p$-character in the valence band and the intermixing of $N$ $s$ and $p$ orbitals in the conduction band is similar to what has been reported for bulk wurtzite GaN.\textsuperscript{19} The same pattern applies to the valence and conduction bands of an InGaN MLQW in the presence of C. However, as shown in Fig. 2(b), a shallow state close to $E_V$ and due to the $p$ orbital of C can be found. Similarly, the $s$ orbital of H is responsible for the presence of another shallow state close to the valence band (Fig. 2(c)). On the other side, O is not found in the vicinity of the valence band but it gives rise to a state ($s$ and $p$ character) inside the conduction band of InGaN, at 0.2–1 eV above $E_C$ (Fig. 2(d)).

In order to understand how these states can affect the electronic properties of an InGaN MLQW, we calculated the formation energy ($E_{\text{form}}$), in the charge state $q$, of $C_N$, $H_N$, or $O_N$. This allows us to both evaluate the abundance of these impurities in the InN bilayer of wurtzite GaN, their acceptor or donor character as well as to obtain the respective ionization levels. $E_{\text{form}}$ was calculated by employing the formalism of Zhang-Northrup\textsuperscript{20} and, since the three impurities occupy a N-site, $E_{\text{form}}$ becomes

$$E_{\text{form}} = E_{\text{q,tot}} - E_{\text{bulk,tot}} - \mu_X + q(E_F + E_V),$$

where $E_{\text{q,tot}}$, $E_{\text{bulk,tot}}$, $\mu_X$, $\mu_N$, and $E_F$ and $E_V$ are the total energies of defected, bulk InN/GaN system, the chemical potential of the substitutional impurity (C, H, or O), the chemical potential of the substituted N atom, and the Fermi level, respectively. We point out that the underestimation of $E_{\text{GAP}}$ affects the calculated values of $E_{\text{form}}$ and the use of hybrid functionals or other correction schemes can help to overcome this issue. For instance, the scissor operator $a$-posteriori correction\textsuperscript{21} would yield an increase of $E_{\text{form}}$ of $O_N$ of about 1.8 eV. Yet, the scissor operator described in Ref. 21 affects states close to the conduction band and, as we shall see, it does not provide a correction to the $E_{\text{form}}$ of $C_N$ and $H_N$. In addition, the validity of the scissor operator has not been proven for InGaN or other nitride alloys. For these reasons, we will report on the LDA-based results without the application of any correction scheme.

In the present study, we are interested in the incorporation of impurities in InN MLQW embedded in the GaN matrix. As described in Ref. 6, In-rich conditions are necessary not only for the synthesis of said InN MLQW\textsuperscript{26} but also promote the incorporation of C, H, and O impurities. For these reasons, the calculation of $E_{\text{form}}$ will be carried out by considering In-rich (or N-poor) conditions. This means that in the case of an InN MLQW

$$\mu_{\text{In[InN]}} + \mu_{\text{N[InN]}} = \mu_{\text{InN[bulk]}},$$

where the chemical potential of bulk InN is given by the sum of the chemical potential of In and N in InN, respectively. Under In-rich conditions, $\mu_{\text{In[InN]}} = \mu_{\text{In[bulk]}}$ and, at the same time, $\mu_{\text{N[InN]}} = \mu_{\text{N[bulk]}} - \mu_{\text{H[bulk]}}$ which is also equal to $\mu_{\text{N[bulk]}} + \Delta H_f[\text{InN}]$, being $\Delta H_f[\text{InN}]$ the enthalpy of formation of InN. From this, we estimate a value of $-271.4$ eV/atom for $\mu_{\text{N}}$. For the three impurities, the upper limit of the chemical potential (so that each impurity is likely to be incorporated in the host) is obtained from either the energy of the elemental bulk phase of the impurity or from the formation of a stable phase between the impurity with the elements of the host material (solubility limiting phase). For C, $\mu_{\text{C}}$ (−155.50 eV/atom) was derived by bulk diamond (64-atom diamond supercell) as no induction carbide compound.

![FIG. 2. Total (solid line) and partial (dashed lines) density of states for the (a) pristine InGaN MLQW, (b) InGaN MLQW with C\textsubscript{N}, (c) InGaN MLQW with H\textsubscript{N}, and (d) O\textsubscript{N}.](image-url)
have been reported in InN growth. For the case of H, for In-rich conditions, we set \( \mu_H = -15.27 \, \text{eV/atom} \), contrarily to N-rich conditions for which \( \mu_H = 0 \), in accordance to Van de Walle and Neugebauer.\(^{22}\) For the oxygen impurity, the formation of In\(_2\)O\(_3\) was reported during the synthesis of InN.\(^{23}\) That is why \( \mu_O \) is calculated from an 80-atom cubic-bixbyite In\(_2\)O\(_3\) supercell (\(-434.7 \, \text{eV/atom}\) by

\[
\mu_0[\text{InN}] = \frac{1}{3} \mu_{\text{In}_2\text{O}_3} + \frac{2}{3} \mu_{\text{In}[\text{In}]}.
\]

As it can be seen in Fig. 3, \( C_N \) possesses the highest \( E_{\text{form}} \) for most of \( E_F \) values. Similarly to the case of GaN\(^8\) and InN\(^9\), \( C_N \) in InGaN MLQW behaves as an acceptor over a wide range of \( E_F \) values. Furthermore, in accordance to Fig. 2(b), a transition level can also be observed at \( 0.1 \, \text{eV} \) above \( E_V \). This is much shallower than the one found in GaN (\( E_V + 0.9 \, \text{eV} \))\(^8\) but closer to that occurring in InN at \( <0.5 \, \text{eV} \) above \( E_V \). The effects of carbon on device performance are controversial. On one hand, carbon is detrimental for devices and the reduction of the carbon incorporation (by using appropriate precursors like triethylgallium) leads to improvement of the performance of InGaN photodetectors.\(^{24}\) On the other hand, intentional incorporation of carbon, leading to n-type doping compensation, can improve the breakdown characteristics of InGaN-based HEMT-LED devices.\(^{25}\)

Next, \( H_N \) has a lower \( E_{\text{form}} \) than \( C_N \). For both GaN and InN, H is reported to be a donor impurity\(^{11,18}\) and this is also the case for InGaN MLQW. However, \( H_N \) shares more similarities with InN rather than with GaN, as \( H_N \) is found to be a double donor just like in the case of InN. However, unlike InN and consistently with Fig. 2(c), a transition level is present in the Kohn-Sham \( E_{\text{GAP}} \) at \( E_V + 0.08 \, \text{eV} \), meaning that the double donor character of \( H_N \) in InGaN MLQW is displayed over a very limited range of \( E_F \). As mentioned earlier, it was reported that H in InN is more stable at an interstitial site rather than at a substitutional site. Consistently to the results of Janotti,\(^{10}\) our calculated \( E_{\text{form}} \) for H in an interstitial bond-center configuration, \( H_I \) (not shown here) is lower than that of \( H_S \) but higher than \( O_N \).

Regarding \( O_N \), this impurity behaves as a donor in InGaN MLQW, for all values of \( E_F \), as in GaN\(^9\) and InN.\(^{26}\) It has also the lowest \( E_{\text{form}} \) of all the other impurities considered in the present study, for \( E_F \leq E_V + 0.6 \, \text{eV} \), suggesting that, if oxygen impurities are present during growth, they will be spontaneously incorporated into the crystal making it difficult to obtain \( p^- \) type InGaN. Since the growth of In-rich \( p^- \) type InGaN is crucial for the development of opto-electronic devices, in the following we will focus our discussion on \( O_N \).

The electronic structure of \( O_N \) was investigated by employing the defect-molecule model. The oxygen atom binds to one Ga atom and three In atoms (In\(_3\)GaO), as shown in Fig. 1(d). By removal of a N atom, the N vacancy (\( V_N \)) has three electrons. To these, the six electrons of oxygen need to be added. As a consequence, the In\(_3\)GaO has nine electrons in total. From the defect-molecule model, we find that the In\(_3\)GaO has a \( C_3v \) point symmetry, both before and after geometry relaxation. This means that the nine electrons need to be accommodated in two singlet (\( a_1 \)) and one doublet (\( e \)) orbital. Since only eight electrons can be placed in two \( a_1 \) and one \( e \) orbital, we speculate that the spare electron ends up in an anti-bonding orbital, possibly a singlet (\( a_1' \)). As shown in Fig. 3, no transition levels are present in the Kohn-Sham \( E_{\text{GAP}} \) when \( O_N \) is present in the MLQW, so we suggest that this level may be resonant in either valence or conduction band. In order to verify if this group theoretical prediction is correct, we first show (Fig. 4(a)) the charge density contour plot of the In\(_3\)GaO molecule.

![FIG. 3. Formation energy for the isolated \( C_N \), \( H_N \), and \( O_N \) substitutional impurities shown in the underestimated LDA band gap. Dashed lines are a guide for the eye and show the position of the transition levels in the band gap.](image3.png)

![FIG. 4. (a) Charge density plot of the In\(_3\)GaO molecule, as obtained from the defect-molecule model and (b) isosurface of the calculated wave function for the same molecule (0.095 e\( A^3 \)). The red (yellow) isosurface represents the positive (negative) values of the wave function.](image4.png)
concentration of incorporated oxygen. Oxygen has also proven to be detrimental for the growth of strongly p-type doped In$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$N; indeed, it was reported$^{29}$ that achieving heavily doped p-type In$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$N is challenging due to the presence of donor impurities. As our study shows, $H_N$ and $O_N$ are both donors, but $O_N$ may be held accountable for this problem due to its lower $E_{\text{form}}$. Furthermore, several reports have shown that the presence of oxygen can degrade the performance of InGaN/GaN LED$^{3,4}$ and attributed this to the incorporation of oxygen into the Mg-doped GaN, leading to high-resistivity GaN. To support this hypothesis, Poblenz et al.$^{29}$ have reported oxygen incorporation in MBE-grown In$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$N and found that the oxygen content is three times higher in In$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$N than in GaN for a growth temperature of 600°C or ten times higher if the growth temperature is raised up to 750°C.

In conclusion, we showed that oxygen is the most abundant impurity found in InGaN MLQW due to its low $E_{\text{form}}$ and, in the high In content limit, it may also be in In$_{1-x}$Ga$_x$N alloys. The $E_{\text{form}}$ of $O_N$ in InGaN MLQW is found to be comparably low as that of $O_N$ in either GaN or InN, meaning that avoiding oxygen incorporation in an InGaN MLQW is crucial for the correct functionality of InGaN opto-electronic devices.
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